UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

Civil Action No. 4:24-cv-00698-SDJ-BD



CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT TEXAS EASTERN

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE (Dkt 68) REGARDING DEMAND FOR CLARIFICATION ON PRIVILEGE ASSERTION

Pro Se Plaintiff Dr. Dilip Jana respectfully files this Supplemental Notice to preserve the record and supplement Plaintiff's Notice of Demand for Clarification Regarding Privilege Assertion (Dkt. 68) filed on June 30, 2025, concerning Defendant Walmart Inc.'s assertion of attorney-client privilege and/or work product protection over EXHIBIT 2 (Complaint, Dkt. 13, PageID# 299) and other documents currently withheld.

As detailed in Dkt. 68 and the Demand for Clarification served on June 30, 2025 (attached there as Exhibit A), Plaintiff requested that Defendant clarify its position regarding its privilege assertion over EXHIBIT 2 and other withheld documents, and produce a Rule 26(b)(5)(A) privilege log certified under Rule 26(g) by Walmart's lead counsel, Peter Wahby, by 5:00 p.m. Central Time on July 7, 2025. As of the filing of this Supplemental Notice, it is **July 8, 2025, at 3:00 p.m. Central**, and Plaintiff has not received any response or clarification from Walmart. Plaintiff files this Supplemental

Notice to ensure the record is clear that Walmart failed to provide the requested clarification,

certification, or privilege log within the stated deadline, despite Plaintiff's good-faith efforts to confer

under Rule 37(a)(1). Plaintiff reserves all rights to seek discovery sanctions under Rule 37 and will

note Defendant's failure to clarify its position in any forthcoming filings.

Plaintiff further notes for the record that in Dkt. 51, Walmart's first Motion for Protective Order, on

April 4, 2025 Walmart stated:

"Rather, Walmart seeks entry of a protective order to provide the parties with clear guidelines for the handling of confidential information, to facilitate orderly and efficient

discovery, and to prevent the unharnessed disclosure of sensitive information."

Plaintiff notes that Walmart's current failure to clarify its privilege assertion and produce a privilege

log, despite Plaintiff's demand and while a protective order is now in place, is inconsistent with

Walmart's stated goal of facilitating orderly and efficient discovery.

Dated: July 8, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

DILIP JANA, Plaintiff

Pro Se

Telephone: 318-243-9743

Email: janadilip@gmail.com

800 Fairlawn St, Allen, TX, 75002

Plaintiff's Supplemental Notice of Dkt 68 Jana v. Walmart, Inc.

Page 2 of 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On July 8, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the following through the

Court's CM/ECF system:

Peter S. Wahby

Texas Bar No. 24011171

Peter.wahby@gtlaw.com

Morgan E. Jones

Texas Bar No. 24132301

Morgan.jones@gtlaw.com

Holmes H. Hampton

Texas Bar No. 24144019 holmes.hampton@gtlaw.com

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

2200 Ross Ave, Suite 5200 Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: 214.665.3600 Facsimile: 214.665.3601

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT WALMART INC.

Dated: July 8, 2025

Respectfully Submitted

Dilip Jana, pro se Plaintiff

Dily Kum Jana

Tel: 318-243-9743

EMail: janadilip@gmail.com

800 Fairlawn St, Allen, TX, 75002